External Review of Governance for Schools Requiring Improvement

The review is based on the nine criteria for the effectiveness of governance as laid out in the School Inspection Handbook, Ofsted, September 2012. It would be expected that sound governance will also ensure that sound clerking arrangements are in place for Full Governing Body and committee meetings. Where meeting minutes provide insufficient evidence there may be action points which arise associated with the clerking of these meetings. Individual reviews would be unlikely to cover all nine areas but should focus on the issues identified during the Ofsted inspection.

Ofsted Evaluation Criterion	1. How well governors ensure clarity of vision, ethos and strategic direction	
	Characteristics	Evidence to be sought
Strong Governance <i>all characteristics of</i> <i>'sound' governance</i> <i>plus the following:</i>	 Governors play a significant role in creating a vision that is shared by all members of the school community and is based on input from and consultation with a range of stakeholders. The Governing Body (GB) is influential in setting the strategic direction of the school in partnership with senior leaders. The GB ensures decisions are made in line with the school's vision and strategic priorities and monitors the impact of these decisions. 	 Ofsted report School prospectus GB agendas Governance structures, committee work programmes and terms of reference
Sound Governance	 Governors can articulate the vision of the school, which is consistently set out in relevant school documents. Governors know the school's main priorities for improvement. The work of the GB is focused on and driven by the school's strategic priorities. The GB monitors progress against school development plan priorities. Governors monitor how effectively the policy framework and its practices support the ethos of the school. 	 GB and committee minutes School Development Plan School website Headteacher's report to GB School self-evaluation documentation Discussion with
Weak Governance	Governance in this area is weak if all of the characteristics of <i>sound governance</i> are not evident.	HeadteacherDiscussion with governors
Relevant All-Party Parliamentary Group 20 questions	Q9. Have we developed long term aims for the school with clear priorities in an amb which is regularly monitored and reviewed?Q10. Does our strategic planning cycle drive the GB's activities and agenda setting?	itious school development plan

Ofsted Evaluation	2. How well governors contribute to the school's self-evaluation and understand its strengths and		
Criterion	weaknesses		
	Characteristics	Evidence to be sought	
Strong Governance all characteristics of 'sound' governance plus the following:	 Governors have a detailed and accurate understanding of the school's overall performance. Governors have an understanding of the data which they use to cross reference and challenge what they are told by school-leaders. Governors regularly evaluate their own effectiveness and this informs the evaluation of school leadership. 	 Ofsted report School Development Plan Self Evaluation Summary Governor skills/experience audit Governor visit reports 	
Sound Governance	 The Headteacher provides GB with a range of information including external views and performance data to enable the governors to have confidence in the schools evaluation of its performance. Governors have a balanced and accurate view of the schools main strengths and priorities for improvement. The GB includes members with appropriate skills and understanding who have the ability to engage in self evaluation. Governors' visits inform their knowledge of the school. The School Development Plan (SDP) is clearly aligned to school self-evaluation and addresses any improvement priorities that have been identified. The SDP indicates governor monitoring of progress in achieving key improvement priorities. Minutes and discussions demonstrate that governors are using their time effectively to engage with school self-evaluation. 		
Weak Governance	Governance in this area is weak if all of the characteristics of <i>sound governance</i> are not evident.		
Relevant All-Party	Q7. Is the size, composition and committee structure of our Gov. Body conducive to	effective working?	
Parliamentary	Q8. Does every member of the governing body make a regular contribution and do	we carry out an annual review of	
Group 20 questions	the governing body's performance?		
	Q14. How do we listen to and understand our pupils, parents and staff?		

Ofsted Evaluation Criterion	3. How well governors support and strengthen school leadership	
	Characteristics	Sources of Evidence
Strong Governance <i>all characteristics of</i> <i>'sound' governance</i> <i>plus the following:</i>	 Governors can articulate high expectations for their school and these are reflected in the way they plan their monitoring, are present in school and encourage/support of collaboration. Governors ensure the performance management of the Headteacher and other staff is focused on the school's strategic priorities and on improving the quality of teaching/pupil outcomes. Governance structures are kept under review to ensure they reflect school and national priorities. 	 Ofsted report Committee structures, terms of reference and work programmes Governing Body/committee minutes Reports of governor visits School organisation
Sound Governance	 FGB meetings are efficient and effective, with a clear focus on school improvement priorities. GB structures/working practices are focussed on standards. There is a strong/trusting relationship between the SLT and GB. Governors understand how leadership and management responsibilities are divided among SLT and Middle Leaders. Robust succession planning is in place, for senior/middle leaders <i>and</i> the Governing Body. There is evidence of support/ funding for a wide range professional development for all staff including SLT and monitoring of its impact. There are clear and robust procedures in place for Senior Leadership Recruitment and governor involvement in this is clear. 	structure School Development Plan Discussion with Headteacher Discussion with governors
Weak Governance	Governance in this area is weak if all of the characteristics of <i>sound governance</i> are not evident.	
Relevant All-Party Parliamentary Group 20 questions	Q7. Is the size, composition and committee structure of our Governing Body conduct Q16. What benefit do we draw from collaboration with other schools and other sect Q18. Do we engage in succession planning?	

Ofsted Evaluation Criterion	4. How well governors provide challenge and hold the Headteacher and other senior leaders to account for improving the quality of teaching, pupils' achievement and pupils' behaviour and safety	
	Characteristics	Sources of Evidence
Strong Governance all characteristics of 'sound' governance plus the following: Sound Governance	 Governor questions demonstrate a relentless drive for improvement, e.g. what more can be done to improve quality of teaching and pupil achievement? Governors monitor the impact of a range of interventions and bring robust challenge where these are not successful. Governors receive a range of data, which is understood/questioned. Governors systematically challenge Headteacher/SLT so that the quality of teaching and pupils' achievement has improved, or previous good performance in these areas have been consolidated. Governors monitor the quality of teaching and improvement. Governors receive a full range of data on pupils' behaviour and safety and challenge the school to bring about further improvement. Governors know outcomes for groups of children, e.g. those with Special Educational Needs. Where applicable governors have an understanding of Early Years Foundation Stage/Sixth Form data. Governors have an understanding of national expectations of pupil performance and how their school compares. 	 Ofsted report Governing Body agendas and minutes Headteacher's reports appropriate policies Discussion with Headteacher Discussion with Chair/governors
Weak Governance	Governance in this area is weak if all of the characteristics of <i>sound governance</i> are not evident.	
Relevant All-Party Parliamentary Group 20 questions	Q11. Do governors understand the school's performance well enough to properly ho	Id school leaders to account?

Ofsted Evaluation	5. How well governors use performance management systems, including the performance		
Criterion	management of the Headteacher, to improve teaching, leadership and man Characteristics	agement Sources of Evidence	
Strong Governance all characteristics of 'sound' governance plus the following:	 Governors can clearly articulate the link between self evaluation, school development planning and the appraisal/performance management process. Clear and consistent monitoring of the impact of the appraisal process demonstrates the impact of CPD on quality of teaching, leadership and management and pupil progress. 	 Ofsted report Governing Body agendas and minutes Copy of Headteacher Appraisal Headteacher's reports Appraisal policy Discussion with Headteacher, chair, and other governors. 	
Sound Governance	 Governors select the external adviser, with clear understanding of what the role involves and what would constitute an appropriate individual. The head's appraisal panel is appointed on the basis of appropriately trained/experienced panel members. Headteacher's performance monitoring meetings are held at least termly. Appraisal policy is in place and governors monitor its effectiveness. The Headteacher's report shares evidence that performance management is robust, including explicit information about threshold and leadership progression and links to pay. Challenging objectives are set for the Headteacher, which are consistent with school development priorities Appraisal objectives of all teachers contribute towards school development plan priorities. Clear links exist between appraisal, professional development activities, and their impact on the quality of teaching. 		
Weak Governance	Governance in this area is weak if all of the characteristics of <i>sound governance</i> are not evident.		
Relevant All-Party Parliamentary Group 20 questions	Q12. How effective is our performance management of the Headteacher?		

Ofsted Evaluation Criterion	6. How well governors ensure solvency and probity and that the financial r the school are managed effectively	esources made available to
Citterion	Characteristics	Sources of Evidence
Strong Governance <i>all characteristics of</i> <i>'sound' governance</i> <i>plus the following:</i>	 Budget is consistently balanced with no significant carry forward balance. Numbers on roll and projections inform future planning for min 3 years. Governors routinely monitor the schools financial control mechanisms and know through evidence that financial probity exists. Governors lead the SFVS/FMGE process and follow up on identified improvements. Governors routinely engage in benchmarking and are able to articulate how the school has acted on findings. 	 Scheme of Delegation (poss. within Terms of Reference Resources/Finance Committee) Finance Reports from Bursar Work Programmes/Terms of Reference of Resources/Finance Committee FGB, Finance/Resources Committee minutes Audit requirements (where appropriate) met Register of Business Interests SFVS or FMGE (academies) Schedule/calendar of meetings
Sound Governance	 The school has a balanced budget. Governors share a clear understanding of roles and responsibilities and delegated authority relating to school's finances. There are explicit links between school improvement priorities and the budget, which are openly discussed and reflected in minutes. Minutes reflect monitoring of the impact of major spending decisions. Minutes reflect appropriate challenge on financial issues. Governors can articulate the controls in place to ensure probity (e.g. annual review of delegation of tasks and evidence of compliance). SFVS/FMGE has been completed/is in progress with clear governor involvement and understanding of the process. Scheduling of meetings reflects key priorities/ need for appropriate monitoring (e.g. approval of budget and key monitoring i.e. September). 	
Weak Governance	Governance in this area is weak if all of the characteristics of <i>sound governance</i> are not evident.	
Relevant All-Party Parliamentary Group 20 questions	13. Are our financial management systems robust and do we ensure best value for n	noney.

Ofsted Evaluation Criterion	7. How well governors operate in such a way that statutory duties are met and priorities are approved	
	Characteristics	Sources of Evidence
Strong Governance: all characteristics of 'sound' governance plus the following:	 FGB documentation demonstrates a clear and consistent approach to fulfilling a wide range of statutory duties and the GB's determination to keep abreast of new initiatives and any changes in legislation. Agendas/work programmes reflect a clear commitment to an annual cycle of timely discussion, review and approval of key compliance requirements e.g. Child Protection Policy. The Headteacher, Chair and Clerk jointly agree the agenda for each FGB meeting to ensure it reflects statutory requirements and school priorities. GB plans its training and development to reflect SDP priorities and latest government initiatives/requirements e.g. Pupil Premium, SFVS. 	 School website Minutes of FGB and committees Scheme of delegation /terms of reference/work programmes for committees Ofsted report (e.g. safeguarding) Discussion with Chair/other
Sound Governance	 Government initiatives/requirements e.g. rubit rremidin, sirvs. Governors understand their statutory role and responsibilities. Terms of reference clearly outline which policies require annual review. FGB and committee agendas reflect statutory responsibilities. The clerk ensures agendas reflect statutory compliance issues. Minutes reflect governors' review and approval of statutory policies. Governors know their responsibilities relating to safeguarding. Governors know what information is required on the school website. There are no references in Ofsted report to failure to meet statutory requirements. Any parental concerns are effectively addressed in line with school policy. 	 Discussion with Clair/other governors Discussion with Clerk Parental Concerns Policy
Weak Governance	Governance in this area is weak if all of the characteristics of <i>sound governance</i> are not evident.	
Relevant All-Party Parliamentary Group 20 questions	 Q3. Do we understand our roles and responsibilities? Q4. Do we have a professional clerk and run meetings efficiently? Q5. What is our training and development budget and does every governor receive t out their role effectively? 	he support they need to carry

Ofsted Evaluation Criterion	8. How well governors engage with key stakeholders	
CITCHION	Characteristics	Sources of Evidence
Strong Governance all characteristics of 'sound governance' plus the following:	 Home-School Agreement is reviewed each year and governors know the school takes reasonable steps to ensure all parents/carers sign it. Parents, pupils and staff are surveyed regularly and their views impact GB decisions. Governors closely monitor parental engagement using a range of indicators, including how school engages with "hard to reach" parents. Governors know that effective links with other schools, the local and wider community have a positive impact on pupil outcomes. Governors actively take advantage of a wide range of opportunities to engage with all key stakeholder groups. 	 % of signed home-school agreements Attendance at parents' evenings, curriculum events Pupil/parental/staff surveys Parents' forum/council Website – parents' portal Accessibility of policies Cluster/partnership
Sound Governance	 Surveys of parents and pupils are undertaken and reported to governors. Attendance at parents' events is monitored. A parents' forum with clear terms of reference has structured meetings. Links with other schools are known and their impact discussed. Website – regularly maintained, has a range of useful info for parents. Governors know the school has effective community/business links. There is evidence of governors engaging with parents. 	 arrangements Community links Engagement with local employers Engagement with hard to reach parents Communications to parents
Weak Governance	Governance in this area is weak if all of the characteristics of <i>sound governance</i> are not evident.	from GB
Relevant All-Party Parliamentary Group 20 questions	 Q14. How do we listen to and understand our parents, pupils and staff? Q15. How do we report to our parents and local community regularly? Q16. What benefit do we draw from collaboration with other schools and other sector 	ors, locally and nationally?

Ofsted Evaluation Criterion	9. How well governors use the pupil premium (PP) and other resources to overcome barriers to learning, including reading, writing and mathematics.	
	Characteristics	Sources of Evidence :
Strong Governance <i>all characteristics of</i> <i>'sound' governance</i> <i>plus the following:</i>	 Governors have discussed in detail, including intended outcomes/impact, how Pupil Premium (PP) funding is used. Governors have regularly monitored the outcomes of PP and know gaps are being reduced/removed. Governors have a clear understanding of where gaps exist, both within the school <i>and</i> against national performance data. Value for money (in terms of impact on pupil outcomes) is regularly discussed and challenged by governors (e.g. is PP having the impact we anticipated?). The school's website provides parents with a clear understanding of the amount of funding, how it is spent and what difference it makes to pupil outcomes. 	 Minutes of FGB and/or relevant committee e.g. Standards committee Headteacher Reports (or other SLT member) to FGB or committees Report on school website (statutory) Discussions with governors
Sound Governance	 Use of PP is reported to GB or relevant committee and questions are asked relating to the broad impact of spend. Governors understand the aim of PP and can point to at least one example of how it is spent in their school. Governors know where gaps in attainment exist in their school. The school website meets the statutory requirements regarding PP info. 	
Weak Governance	Governance in this area is weak if all of the characteristics of <i>sound governance</i> are not evident.	
Relevant All-Party Parliamentary Group 20 questions	Q20. How much has the school improved over the last 3 years and what has the GB	's contribution been to this?